



---

Year: 2014

---

## A novel acute retroviral syndrome severity score predicts the key surrogate markers for HIV-1 disease progression

Braun, Dominique L ; Kouyos, Roger ; Oberle, Corinna ; Grube, Christina ; Joos, Beda ; Fellay, Jacques ; McLaren, Paul J ; Kuster, Herbert ; Günthard, Huldrych F

**Abstract:** Objective: Best long-term practice in primary HIV-1 infection (PHI) remains unknown for the individual. A risk-based scoring system associated with surrogate markers of HIV-1 disease progression could be helpful to stratify patients with PHI at highest risk for HIV-1 disease progression. Methods: We prospectively enrolled 290 individuals with well-documented PHI in the Zurich Primary HIV-1 Infection Study, an open-label, non-randomized, observational, single-center study. Patients could choose to undergo early antiretroviral treatment (eART) and stop it after one year of undetectable viremia, to go on with treatment indefinitely, or to defer treatment. For each patient we calculated an a priori defined "Acute Retroviral Syndrome Severity Score" (ARSSS), consisting of clinical and basic laboratory variables, ranging from zero to ten points. We used linear regression models to assess the association between ARSSS and log baseline viral load (VL), baseline CD4+ cell count, and log viral setpoint (sVL) (i.e. VL measured 90 days after infection or treatment interruption). RESULTS: Mean ARSSS was 2.89. CD4+ cell count at baseline was negatively correlated with ARSSS ( $p = 0.03$ ,  $n = 289$ ), whereas HIV-RNA levels at baseline showed a strong positive correlation with ARSSS ( $p < 0.001$ ,  $n = 290$ ). In the regression models, a 1-point increase in the score corresponded to a 0.10 log increase in baseline VL and a CD4+cell count decline of 12/ $\mu$ l, respectively. In patients with PHI and not undergoing eART, higher ARSSS were significantly associated with higher sVL ( $p = 0.029$ ,  $n = 64$ ). In contrast, in patients undergoing eART with subsequent structured treatment interruption, no correlation was found between sVL and ARSSS ( $p = 0.28$ ,  $n = 40$ ). CONCLUSION: The ARSSS is a simple clinical score that correlates with the best-validated surrogate markers of HIV-1 disease progression. In regions where ART is not universally available and eART is not standard this score may help identifying patients who will profit the most from early antiretroviral therapy.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0114111>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-102369>

Journal Article

Published Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

Braun, Dominique L; Kouyos, Roger; Oberle, Corinna; Grube, Christina; Joos, Beda; Fellay, Jacques; McLaren, Paul J; Kuster, Herbert; Günthard, Huldrych F (2014). A novel acute retroviral syndrome severity score predicts the key surrogate markers for HIV-1 disease progression. PLoS ONE, 9(12):e114111. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0114111>

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# A Novel Acute Retroviral Syndrome Severity Score Predicts the Key Surrogate Markers for HIV-1 Disease Progression

Dominique L. Braun<sup>1\*</sup>, Roger Kouyos<sup>1</sup>, Corinna Oberle<sup>1</sup>, Christina Grube<sup>1</sup>, Beda Joos<sup>1</sup>, Jacques Fellay<sup>2</sup>, Paul J. McLaren<sup>2</sup>, Herbert Kuster<sup>1</sup>, Huldrych F. Günthard<sup>1\*</sup>



CrossMark  
click for updates

## OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Braun DL, Kouyos R, Oberle C, Grube C, Joos B, et al. (2014) A Novel Acute Retroviral Syndrome Severity Score Predicts the Key Surrogate Markers for HIV-1 Disease Progression. PLoS ONE 9(12): e114111. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114111

**Editor:** Cecilio López-Galíndez, Centro Nacional de Microbiología - Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Spain

**Received:** May 28, 2014

**Accepted:** November 3, 2014

**Published:** December 9, 2014

**Copyright:** © 2014 Braun et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability:** The authors confirm that all data underlying the findings are fully available without restriction. All relevant data are within the paper.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation [grant #324730-130865 to HFG, grant #PZ00P3-142411 to RK] and by the University of Zurich's Clinical Research Priority Program (CRPP) "Viral infectious diseases: Zurich Primary HIV Infection Study" to HFG and by the matching fund program of the University Hospital of Zurich to DLB. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. For the other authors, no funding was declared.

**Competing Interests:** HFG has received unrestricted research and educational grants from Roche, Abbott, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead, Astra-Zeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, and Merck Sharp & Dohme (all money went to institution). This does not alter the authors' adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials. For the other authors, no competing interests were declared.

**1.** Division of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, **2.** School of Life Sciences, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland; Institute of Microbiology, University Hospital Center and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

\*[dominique.braun@usz.ch](mailto:dominique.braun@usz.ch) (DLB); [huldrych.guenthard@usz.ch](mailto:huldrych.guenthard@usz.ch) (HFG)

## Abstract

**Objective:** Best long-term practice in primary HIV-1 infection (PHI) remains unknown for the individual. A risk-based scoring system associated with surrogate markers of HIV-1 disease progression could be helpful to stratify patients with PHI at highest risk for HIV-1 disease progression.

**Methods:** We prospectively enrolled 290 individuals with well-documented PHI in the Zurich Primary HIV-1 Infection Study, an open-label, non-randomized, observational, single-center study. Patients could choose to undergo early antiretroviral treatment (eART) and stop it after one year of undetectable viremia, to go on with treatment indefinitely, or to defer treatment. For each patient we calculated an a priori defined "Acute Retroviral Syndrome Severity Score" (ARSSS), consisting of clinical and basic laboratory variables, ranging from zero to ten points. We used linear regression models to assess the association between ARSSS and log baseline viral load (VL), baseline CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count, and log viral setpoint (sVL) (i.e. VL measured ≥90 days after infection or treatment interruption).

**Results:** Mean ARSSS was 2.89. CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count at baseline was negatively correlated with ARSSS ( $p=0.03$ ,  $n=289$ ), whereas HIV-RNA levels at baseline showed a strong positive correlation with ARSSS ( $p<0.001$ ,  $n=290$ ). In the regression models, a 1-point increase in the score corresponded to a 0.10 log increase in baseline VL and a CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count decline of 12/ $\mu$ l, respectively. In patients with PHI and not undergoing eART, higher ARSSS were significantly associated with higher sVL ( $p=0.029$ ,  $n=64$ ). In contrast, in patients undergoing eART with subsequent structured treatment interruption, no correlation was found between sVL and ARSSS ( $p=0.28$ ,  $n=40$ ).

**Conclusion:** The ARSSS is a simple clinical score that correlates with the best-validated surrogate markers of HIV-1 disease progression. In regions where ART is not universally available and eART is not standard this score may help identifying patients who will profit the most from early antiretroviral therapy.

## Introduction

Since 2010, HIV treatment guidelines recommend early antiretroviral therapy (eART) in case of symptomatic primary HIV-infection (PHI) [1], fostered by increasing evidence that immediate treatment is beneficial for patients with PHI [2–6]. On an individual level, however, best long-term practice in PHI remains uncertain and the value of eART is still debated due to controversial results reported in literature [2–4, 6–9]. Several studies report a relationship between the severity of PHI and disease progression and death [10–12]. Based on these data it is convincing that patients with severe manifestation of PHI probably benefit the most from prompt initiation of ART. On the other hand, there exist no clear definition of severe PHI and withholding ART to study the natural history of the HIV-infection has been unethical for almost two decades. We therefore developed the Acute Retroviral Syndrome Severity Score (ARSSS), which includes clinical symptoms and a few general laboratory parameters that are regularly obtained in a routine primary care setting for patients presenting with PHI. We hypothesized that the intensity of the clinical presentation of PHI expressed by our newly developed ARSSS correlates with the best validated surrogate markers associated with HIV-1 disease progression [11, 13, 14]. Our aim was to create an easy obtainable risk score which could help clinicians to identify patients who might profit most of eART, in particularly in regions where universal ART is not available. We evaluated our ARSSS in 290 individuals with a well-documented PHI within the frame of the Zurich Primary HIV-infection study.

## Study Design, Patients and Methods

### Study design and patient selection

Between January 2002 and September 2012 we prospectively enrolled 290 individuals with a documented PHI in the longitudinal Zurich Primary HIV Infection Study (ZPHIS), which is an open-label, non-randomized, observational, single-center study (<http://clinicaltrials.gov>, ID 5 NCT00537966) [5, 6, 15]. All patients  $\geq 18$  years who fulfilled the inclusion criteria of a documented acute or recent HIV infection (definition see below) and who gave their informed consent were included in the study. Patients could choose to undergo eART and stop it after one year of undetectable viremia ( $< 50$  copies HIV-1 RNA/ml plasma), to go on with treatment indefinitely, or to defer treatment. During the first visit, a

detailed history of symptoms and signs of the acute retroviral syndrome (ARS) was obtained, as well as a physical examination and standard laboratory parameters (including full blood count and chemistry in addition to specific HIV-1 laboratory parameters such as HIV-1 viral load, CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count, HIV-1 Immunoblot, p24 antigen and genotypic resistance testing). Patients were actively screened for acute hepatitis B and C, syphilis, gonorrhea, chlamydia trachomatis and herpes simplex. If the patient was referred from an external physician, data from the first external visit were recorded.

## Ethics Statement

The ethic committee of the University Hospital Zurich approved the study protocol and a written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

## Definition of acute and recent primary HIV-infection and of estimated date of infection

Acute/recent PHI was confirmed in all patients as previously published [6, 15, 16]: acute HIV-infection was defined as ARS and negative or indeterminate Westernblot in the presence of a positive p24-antigen and/or detectable HIV-1 RNA; or as a documented seroconversion with a 4<sup>th</sup> generation HIV screening test with or without symptoms during the past 90 days. Recent infection was defined as possible ARS, positive Westernblot and detectable HIV-RNA and a negative HIV-gp120 avidity or detuned assay; or as a documented acute HIV-1 infection with referral to our center more than 90 days after presumed date of infection. For each patient an estimated date of infection (EDI) was determined as previously described [5, 6, 15] by taking into account the pattern of different assay reactivity's (first positive and last negative HIV test, negative, indeterminate and positive WB, positive p24 antigen, and avidity assay), patient's reports of unambiguous risk contacts, and timing of onset of ARS symptoms.

## Antiretroviral treatment and follow-up

Since the beginning of the study in 2002, eART was offered to all patients in a research setting, even though general treatment guidelines did not yet recommend eART for PHI patients [17]. Over time these treatment recommendations have changed towards treating HIV-infected individuals regardless of their CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count, including patients with PHI [1, 18]. The initial treatment consisted of a ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor (PI) combined with two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (nRTI) (e.g. lopinavir/ritonavir or darunavir/ritonavir in combination with zidovudine/lamivudine or emtricitabine/tenofovir) reflecting the introduction of these drugs into clinical practice [1, 17, 18]. Treatment was continued for at least 12 months when plasma HIV- RNA was <50 copies/ml. After one year of suppressed viremia, patients could choose to stop ART. Of note, structured treatment interruption was no longer recommended since 2010 due to increasing evidence that effect of eART after treatment stop is only transient and

vanishes over the time [19] and due to a change in treatment recommendations towards universal treatment [1]. In the case of structured treatment interruption, reintroduction of cART was recommended to the patients if CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count dropped to below 350 copies/ml [1, 20]. After cessation of cART, the VL and CD4<sup>+</sup> cell counts were collected every 2-4 weeks during the first three months and then on every regular visit four times annually.

## Acute retroviral syndrome

Based on an extensive literature search we defined 17 symptoms and signs which we considered ARS symptoms and signs (Table 1) [21–31]. An ARS was stated in the presence of fever plus at least one of the 17 symptoms/signs or, in absence of fever,  $\geq 2$  symptoms/signs.

## Acute Retroviral Syndrome Severity Score

We intended to develop an ARSSS which could be calculated easily within a short time without including time-consuming, expensive, or difficult to perform laboratory analysis (Table 2). The ARSSS was defined a priori. We intentionally did not perform separate analyses of individual variables. The six variables and their weight were chosen based on epidemiological and clinical evidence and our own profound experience with PHI. For each patient we calculated an ARSSS ranging from zero to 10 points. The rationale for using the six scoring variables was based on following considerations and evidence: (i) Severe neurological symptoms, inpatient treatment, fever and age  $>50$  years: it has been shown that patients with prolonged or symptomatic manifestation of primary HIV infection and older HIV patients have an increased risk of HIV-1 disease progression [10–12, 32, 33]. Of note, a recent publication showed that encephalitis and meningitis are a surrogate for severe PHI and predict faster disease progression [11]. (ii) Low platelet count and elevated aminotransferases: these laboratory markers have been demonstrated to predict faster disease progression in patients with primary and chronic HIV-infection [11, 34–36].

## Baseline CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count, baseline viral load and viral setpoint.

Baseline VL and baseline CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count were defined as the first values available. The viral setpoint (sVL) was defined as the first HIV-RNA measurement  $\geq 90$  days after the EDI in treatment-naïve patients and  $\geq 90$  days after controlled treatment interruption in patients with eART [6]. The cutoff point of  $\geq 90$  days after the EDI was chosen based on the observation that the initially very high levels of viral HIV-1 RNA and DNA, which are a hallmark of acute HIV-1 infection, appear to level off after approximately 3 months post-infection [6].

**Table 1.** Symptoms of acute retroviral syndrome reported in eleven retrospective studies or review articles.

Symptoms/signs of ARS	Median %	Range %
Fever	78	23–100
Skin rash	38	4–75
Pharyngitis	48	2–95
Lymphadenopathy	44	7–75
Myalgia	46	14–92
Headache	44	18–58
Diarrhea	32	14–48
Arthralgias	27	5–72
Cough	25	4–45
Nausea	32	6–67
Malaise/fatigue	64	12–92
Vomiting	32	3–67
Weight loss	21	2–46
Genital ulcer	3	3–10
Oral ulcers	17	9–30
Aseptic Meningitis	12	0–24
Night sweat	14	9–48

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114111.t001

## Genome-wide association analysis

Genomic DNA samples were genotyped using the HumanOmniExpress chip (Illumina), which features >700,000 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). SNPs were filtered based on missingness (dropped if called in <98% of participants), minor allele frequency (dropped if <1%) and severe deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (dropped if  $p < 10^{-6}$ ). High-resolution HLA class I typing was imputed from the SNP data [37]. We used linear regression to test for association between genetic variants and ARSSS. To avoid spurious associations resulting from population stratification, we used a modified Eigenstrat method, which derives the principal components of the correlations among SNPs [38]: population outliers were discarded, and the coordinates of the significant

**Table 2.** Acute Retroviral Syndrome Severity Score (ARSSS).

Parameters	Related scoring point(s)
Severe neurological symptoms <sup>a</sup>	3
Inpatient treatment	3
Age $\geq 50$ years	1
Fever (self-reported or documented $\geq 38^{\circ}$ degrees Celsius)	1
Elevated liver enzymes (ASAT and/or ALAT $\geq 30$ U/l)	1
Thrombocytopenia (platelet count <150 G/l)	1
<b>Maximum value</b>	<b>10</b>

<sup>a</sup>e.g. encephalitis, aseptic meningitis, paresis, facial nerve paresis

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114111.t002



principal component axes were included in the association tests to correct for residual stratification. Bonferroni's correction was applied for multiple testing.

## Statistical analysis

We used linear regression models to assess the impact of ARSSS on log<sub>10</sub> VL. Since the baseline VL was strongly associated with the time-point of measurement (i.e. days after EDI), we corrected for the timepoint of VL measurement in a multivariable regression model. This model included both the ARSSS and the time between the viral load measurement and the EDI as explanatory variables. Specifically, we included time both as a linear and as quadratic term in order to capture the non-monotonic changes of virus load over time. The impact of ARSSS on treatment initiation was assessed using Cox-proportional hazard models (with event = "treatment initiation") and logistic regression (with outcome = "patient started treatment within a given time-window").

## Results

### Patient characteristics

We analysed 290 individuals with a documented PHI, including 271 males. Self-reported transmission modes included men who have sex with men (MSM) (78%), heterosexual (20%), intravenous drug abuse (IVDA) (1%) and others (1%). The most prevalent HIV-subtype was B (76%), followed by CRF01\_AE (7%), A (4%) and C (2%). 23 patients (8%) showed  $\geq$  one mutation associated with transmitted drug resistance (TDR). Of all individuals, 17% presented with signs of a concomitant STI at presentation. 141 patients (49%) first consulted their primary physician, 69 (24%) the hospital and 42 (14%) an outpatient unit ([Table 3](#)).

### Acute primary HIV infection and estimated date of infection

PHI was classified in 242 (83%) individuals as "acute" and in 48 (17%) as "recent" infection. Of the acutely and recently infected patients, 226 of 242 (93%) and 31 of 48 (65%) individuals presented with an ARS, respectively. Of all 290 patients, 16 patients (6%) presented without any symptoms and were diagnosed by routine HIV testing. Seventeen patients (6%) presented with symptoms and signs not considered as ARS. Patients were also classified according to the widely used Fiebig stages ([Table 2](#)), which are based on the sequential detectability of a number of direct and indirect HIV-1 diagnostic tests (e.g. plasma HIV-1 RNA, p24antigen, HIV-1-specific antibodies detected by ELISA and by western blot) [[39](#)]. The mean time between the EDI and the first positive HIV-test overall was 43 days (range 4 to 180 days).



**Table 3.** Baseline characteristics of 290 patients with primary HIV-1 infection.

	Total patients		Female		Acute infection		Recent infection	
	n	% or range	n	%	n	%	n	%
<b>Number of patients</b>	290	100	19	7	242	83	48	17
Male	271	93			228	93	44	96
Female	19	7			16	7	2	4
<b>Age (years)</b>	36	18–70	34	19–55	36	18–70	35	19–63
<b>HIV-1 Subtype B<sup>a</sup></b>	220	76	5	26	179	77	37	80
<b>Transmission mode</b>								
MSM	225	78			185	76	40	87
Heterosexual	59	20	19	100	51	22	6	13
IVDU	4	1			5	2		
Others <sup>b</sup>	2	1			1	1	3	7
<b>STIs<sup>c</sup></b>	49	17	1	5	36	15	12	26
<b>Initial presentation</b>								
General practitioner	141	49	7	37	119	49	22	45
Hospital	69	24	8	42	58	23	11	28
Outpatient unit	42	14	2	11	41	18	1	2
Others <sup>d</sup>	38	12	22	11	24	10	14	25
<b>TDR</b>	23	8	0	0	21	9	2	4
<b>Fiebig stages<sup>e</sup></b>								
I/II	3	1.0			3	1.0		
II–III	48	17	3	16	48	20		
IV–VI	218	75	14	74	174	72	42	92
<b>Median baseline viral load log10 RNA</b>	6.6	1.8–8	6.1	3.6–7	6.7	1.8–8	5.3	2.4–6.4
<b>Median baseline CD4 cell count cells/μl</b>	429	75–1255	443	133–840	412	75–1240	516	164–1255

Abbreviations: MSM: men who have sex with men; IVDU, intravenous drug users; STIs, sexually transmitted infections; HIV-1, human immunodeficiency virus type 1; TDR, transmitted drug resistance.

<sup>a</sup>Other subtypes: CRF01\_AE, C, A, F1, G, CRF02\_AG, CRF14\_BG, A1D, CR 12\_BF, D

<sup>b</sup>One case from a needle stick.

<sup>c</sup>Concomitant STIs: syphilis and/or chlamydia and/or gonorrhoea and/or genital herpes


<sup>d</sup>Non infectious disease specialist or other institutions (e.g. dermatologist, gynaecologist, blood donation center etc.).

<sup>e</sup>In 21 patients a Fiebig stage could not be assigned due to missing p24-antigen values.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114111.t003

## Acute Retroviral Syndrome Severity Score

For the majority (70%) of included individuals, ARSSS-values were between 1 and 3 points, with a mean of 2.89 (range 0 to 10; [Fig. 1](#)). 52 patients with PHI (18%) were treated as inpatients. 41 patients (14%) presented with severe neurological symptoms (e.g., encephalitis, aseptic meningitis, facial nerve paresis); of those, 18 (35%) required hospitalisation. Fever was the most common clinical sign of ARS in 240 of 257 patients (93%), followed by malaise in 167 (65%), pharyngitis in 136 (53%), skin rash in 123 (48%), lymphadenopathy in 121 (47%) and diarrhoea in 91 (35%). Elevated liver enzymes and thrombocytopenia were present in 61% and 35%, respectively.



**Fig. 1. Distribution of scoring points matched to the study subjects.** The ARSSS consists of six variables (see Table 1) with an individual count of scoring points for each variable. The mean ARSSS in 290 study subjects was 2.89 points, the majority of them had an ARSSS between 1–3 points.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114111.g001

## Association of ARSSS with baseline CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count, baseline viral load, and viral setpoint

### Baseline viral load


In univariable analysis, HIV-RNA levels at baseline increased significantly with increasing ARSSS ( $p < 0.001$ ,  $n = 290$ ). This analysis may be confounded by the time of viral load measurement, because during PHI the HIV-plasma RNA values are highly dynamic and change rapidly [40]. Thus, in the multivariable analysis we corrected for this potential bias by including the EDI. After correction, the association between ARSSS and baseline viral load remained highly significant ( $p < 0.001$ ).

### Baseline CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count

In univariable analysis, an increasing ARSSS was inversely correlated with baseline CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count ( $p = 0.03$ ,  $n = 289$ ). The same result was found in multivariable analysis corrected for time ( $p = 0.03$ ;  $n = 289$ ). In the above models, there was a significant association between ARSSS and CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count and viral load, respectively: In multivariable models, an increase of one scoring point corresponded to a 0.10 log increase in baseline VL and a CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count decline of 12 cells/ $\mu$ l, respectively (Fig. 2).

### Setpoint viral load in patients without eART

Similar results were found for patients without eART ( $n = 64$ ). In this subset, individual sVL after 90 days of infection were calculated, showing that higher ARSSS were significantly associated with higher sVL ( $p = 0.029$ ).



**Fig. 2. Relationship between the ARSSS and the log HIV-1 RNA (copies/ml plasma) and the CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count after an estimated duration of infection of 90 days.** There is an inverse correlation between CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count (green line) and the HIV-1 viral load (blue line) and the level of ARSSS. The grey area indicates the 95% confidence interval of the viral load and the green dotted line that of the CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114111.g002

### Setpoint viral load in patients after controlled treatment interruption of eART

In contrast to untreated patients, no significant correlation between ARSSS and the level of the sVL was found after controlled treatment interruption ( $p=0.28$ ,  $n=40$ ).

### ARSSS and time to treatment and HIV-1 RNA suppression

To test the hypothesis that individuals with a high ARSSS were treated faster, we investigated whether there was a correlation between an ARSSS above the median value and the time to treatment (i.e. time period between the first positive test and the start of treatment). Indeed, these individuals were treated significantly faster than individuals with an ARSSS below the median value ( $p=0.004$  in a Cox-proportional hazard model with start of ART as an outcome). The impact of having an ARSSS above the median value remained significant when we considered whether patients received treatment within a given time-window (using logistic regression with “receiving treatment within 7 days after positive HIV test” as outcome:  $p=0.05$ , OR [95%CI] = 1.8 [1.0, 3.3]; within 14 days:  $p<0.001$ , OR [95%CI] = 2.5 [1.5, 4.0]; within 28 days:  $p=0.01$ , OR [95%CI] = 2.2 [1.2, 4.0]; within 60 days:  $p=0.001$ , OR [95%CI] = 5.3 [1.8, 16]; or within 84 days:  $p=0.002$ , OR [95%CI] = 4.8 [1.6, 14.3]). After adjusting for CD4<sup>+</sup> cell values at baseline, the association between ARSSS and time to treatment persists and the magnitude of the association is only slightly weaker: for 7 days 1.6 [0.9, 3.0]; for 14 days 2.2 [1.3, 3.6]; for 28 days 2.0 [1.1, 3.6]; for 60 days 4.7 [1.6, 13.9]; and for 84 days 4.2 [1.4, 12.5]. After ZPHI enrollment, 171 of all 290 patients (59%) started an eART within one day. However, patients with an ARSSS above the median score were significantly more likely to start an eART within one day than individuals with an ARSSS below the median value ( $p=0.04$ ). Within 7 days, 222 of the 290 (77%)

enrolled study subjects had started eART. No correlation was found between the ARSSS and time to virus suppression.

## Genetics and immunogenetics of ARSSS

Genome-wide genotyping was performed for 250 patients. After SNP quality control and exclusion of population outliers, 652,904 SNPs were available for association testing, from 196 patients of European ancestry. After correction for multiple testing, no association between ARSSS and human SNPs or HLA class I types was found. In particular, the SNPs tagging HLA-B\*5701 (rs2395029) and HLA-C expression levels (rs9264942), which are the strongest genetic predictors of HIV-1 control [41], did not discriminate between patients with high or low ARSSS.

## Discussion

The most relevant finding of this study is that the newly developed ARSSS, a risk stratification score based on simple and easily obtainable clinical and laboratory parameters, is predictive of the two major, well studied, and clinically relevant surrogate markers associated with HIV-1 disease progression. In particular, a significant correlation was found between the ARSSS and the baseline CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count, the baseline viral load, and the setpoint viral load in untreated patients with a primary HIV-1 infection.

Although a vast number of potential surrogate markers for HIV-1 disease progression have been studied [42–44] - almost exclusively in chronically infected patients - to date the two most important parameters in clinical practice remain CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count and viral load [13]. These two parameters have been validated in very large datasets in regard to AIDS defining, non-AIDS defining clinical endpoints and in regard to mortality [13, 45, 46]. Contrary to chronic HIV-infection, in PHI the value of the surrogate markers CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count and viral load is less studied and validated due to inherent difficulties in diagnosing and recruiting patients with PHI in large numbers and to the considerable variation of immunological and virological parameters during PHI [40]. However, increasing evidence shows that both a low initial CD4 cell count and a high HIV-RNA level are predictive for rapid progression of untreated primary HIV infection [2, 4, 47]. A recent report from Lodi et al. showed that a CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count <350 cell/μl within six months of seroconversion was associated with a significant increased risk for AIDS and death in patients with PHI in the preART era [11]. Contrary to our work, the baseline viral load was not included as predictor in this analysis. Apart from CD4 cell count and baseline viral load, the level of sVL has been repeatedly associated with clinical outcome [13, 14, 48], and is a key marker for later viral control in PHI [49]. The ARSSS predicts these surrogate markers. It could help clinicians identify, at a very early stage, patients with PHI at highest risk of clinical disease progression and opt for an eART. Of note, 70% of the

patients in our study had an ARSSS of 1–3, reflecting mild disease; thus, these patients might not need immediate treatment in a resource limited setting, where drug use may have to be triaged.

The potential usefulness of a clinical score to determine the course and prognosis of HIV-1 diseases at the time point of seroconversion was previously demonstrated by other groups [11, 12]: Vanhems et al. reported a significantly increased hazard ratio for CDC category B for PHI patients with at least three symptoms and for category C and death for those with at least five symptoms at the time of acute infection. Lodi et al demonstrated that a clinical severe illness (i.e., bronchitis, pneumonia, oral candidiasis, thrombocytopenia, viral meningitis/encephalitis) at seroconversion was significantly associated with an increased risk of AIDS and death. However, both studies included data from an era when ART was not yet available or eART was not generally recommended. Since withholding cART has been unethical in our study period, we focused on assessing our ARSSS with regards to predict the best studied surrogate markers for HIV-1 disease progression: CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count and viral load.

One could argue that the composition of our *a priori* defined score is arbitrary and a predictive model is needed. However, there is evidence that useful algorithms can be developed without prior statistical evaluation of individual parameters and formulas. An educated guess based on experience of investigators and knowledge of the literature for selection of variables seem often superior, because they are not affected by accidents of sampling [50, 51]. A classic application of this approach is the *Apgar* test which is still used in clinical practice [52]. The practicability of ARSSS in clinical routine is given by its simple composition and lack of expensive or time-consuming laboratory variables.

An interesting finding which supports the clinical value of the ARSSS in selecting patients for early treatment is that in contrast to the sVL in treatment-naïve patients, no correlation was found with sVL of patients having undergone eART with subsequent treatment interruption. It has been clearly shown in several studies that eART during PHI leads to a transient reduction of the viral setpoint by approximately a factor of 10 when compared to deferred therapy [2, 3] and the VISCONTI study even found some individuals who controlled HIV-RNA spontaneously after interrupting long term eART [53]. The loss of correlation with the ARSSS suggests that eART has a strong and, to a certain extent, sustainable effect and can overrule the negative association of ARSSS with baseline CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count, baseline VL and sVL that was observed in untreated patients. However, this absence of a signal could also be due to the limited power (only 40 patients with structured treatment interruption).

An additional value of the ARSSS lays in the fact that this score captures patients at highest risk for HIV transmission. Several studies have demonstrated that the risk of HIV transmission increases with higher viral load [54, 55] and the ARSSS itself correlates with this marker. We therefore conclude that initiation of eART in patients with a high ARSSS would also include individuals at higher risk for HIV transmission. We acknowledge that - besides having a high viral load - additional factors determine the infectivity of an individual (e.g., co-infections,

sexual high-risk behavior). However, data supports that sexual transmitted infections may increase baseline viral load in HIV infected individuals [56] and therefore are integrated in the ARSSS to a certain extent.

Recently, it has been demonstrated that viral genetic traits can explain up to 50% of viral setpoint variation in HIV-1 infected individuals [57]. On the other hand, host genome-wide association studies showed that variation in the HLA-B and HLA-C genes explain up to 20% of variation in viral control, with little or no impact of human genetic variants located elsewhere in the genome [41]. The vast majority of all these studies, however, were carried out in chronically infected patients. To date, neither viral nor host genetic factors are routinely used in patient management, other than drug resistance testing and HLA testing for abacavir hypersensitivity [58]. In our human genome analysis, no correlation between ARSSS and HLA-B or C variation was found. This is not surprising, given the small sample size and the relatively modest ability of HLA to predict disease progression. It is conceivable that ARSSS integrates virus and host factors alike and thus may be a simple clinical surrogate for the multifactorial nature of HIV-1 disease progression and useful to estimate an individual's vulnerability for severe disease of a patient with a PHI. Thus the ARSSS could serve as a further puzzle piece to guide the clinician in the decision to start eART and to convince patients from the potential benefit of eART.

Our study has strengths and limitations: Strengths: (i) Patients were seen in one center by a stable study-team and laboratory values were measured in a central laboratory; thus, variability of parameters analyzed could be minimized. The study protocol has been unchanged since 2002. (ii) The study population was homogeneous (Table 2). (iii) All but four patients were mono-infected with R5 tropic viruses as previously published [15]. Limitations: (i) We could only evaluate the ARSSS in the context of the two major surrogate markers for HIV disease progression, but could not assess its impact on clinical endpoints. (ii) A potential bias might be that symptomatic patients presented earlier in general, and baseline CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count and VL might have been determined earlier during PHI when CD4<sup>+</sup> cell depletion and VL reached high levels. To circumvent this potential bias, we corrected VL and CD4<sup>+</sup> cell counts according to the EDI and the significant correlation still remained. (iii) Reporting of clinical symptoms is inherently imprecise, but this problem is reduced by combining several predictors in the ARSSS. (iv) We chose an a priori approach to build our score based on variables selected according to knowledge on the literature and our own experience and did not use a statistical model evaluating individual parameters before building the final score. Potentially, important variables could be missed. It cannot be ruled out that the later strategy would also perform well or even better. However, the selection of variables based on a statistical approach is more prone to selection bias inherent to the data, which is not the case in an a priori approach because selection of the parameters is independent on the dataset that is analyzed using the score. Using both approaches and selecting the better performing score would not be appropriate because they could influence each other. In aggregate,



the fact that our a priori built score is predictive of key surrogate markers for HIV-1 progression supports the validity of our approach.

In conclusion, the ARSSS predicts the key surrogate markers for HIV-1 disease progression at a very early stage and thus could be used to identify patients with PHI at highest risk of clinical disease progression and may also serve as a research tool. It should be verified in an independent prospective cohort of PHI patients.

## Acknowledgments

We are grateful to all patients who participated in the ZPHI Study; Barbara Hasse, Urs Karrer, Rolf Oberholzer, Elisabeth Presterl, Reto Laffer, Ulrich von Both, Milo Huber, Clara Thierfelder, Yvonne Flammer, Johannes Nemeth, Amrei von Braun, Aline Wolfensberger, Markus Flepp and Thomas Frey for their dedicated patient care; Christine Leemann and Dominique Klimpel for excellent laboratory assistance; Christine Vögtli and Ingrid Nievergelt for administrative support; Sara Drescher for the critical review of the manuscript.

## Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: DAB HFG. Analyzed the data: RK PJM JF. Wrote the paper: DLB RK JF HFG. Acquired the data: DLB RK BJ CO JF PJM HK CG HFG.

## References

1. Thompson MA, Aberg JA, Cahn P, Montaner JS, Rizzardini G, et al. (2010) Antiretroviral treatment of adult HIV infection: 2010 recommendations of the International AIDS Society-USA panel. *JAMA* 304: 321–333.
2. Fidler S, Porter K, Ewings F, Frater J, Ramjee G, et al. (2013) Short-course antiretroviral therapy in primary HIV infection. *N Engl J Med* 368: 207–217.
3. Grijzen ML, Steingrover R, Wit FW, Jurriaans S, Verbon A, et al. (2012) No treatment versus 24 or 60 weeks of antiretroviral treatment during primary HIV infection: the randomized Primo-SHM trial. *PLoS Med* 9: e1001196.
4. Le T, Wright EJ, Smith DM, He W, Catano G, et al. (2013) Enhanced CD4+ T-cell recovery with earlier HIV-1 antiretroviral therapy. *N Engl J Med* 368: 218–230.
5. Rieder P, Joos B, von Wyl V, Kuster H, Grube C, et al. (2010) HIV-1 transmission after cessation of early antiretroviral therapy among men having sex with men. *AIDS* 24: 1177–1183.
6. Gianella S, von Wyl V, Fischer M, Niederoest B, Battegay M, et al. (2011) Effect of early antiretroviral therapy during primary HIV-1 infection on cell-associated HIV-1 DNA and plasma HIV-1 RNA. *Antivir Ther* 16: 535–545.
7. Hoen B, Fournier I, Lacabaratz C, Burgard M, Charreau I, et al. (2005) Structured treatment interruptions in primary HIV-1 infection: the ANRS 100 PRIMSTOP trial. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 40: 307–316.
8. Kaufmann DE, Lichterfeld M, Altfeld M, Addo MM, Johnston MN, et al. (2004) Limited durability of viral control following treated acute HIV infection. *PLoS Med* 1: e36.
9. Desquilbet L, Goujard C, Rouzioux C, Sinet M, Deveau C, et al. (2004) Does transient HAART during primary HIV-1 infection lower the virological set-point? *AIDS* 18: 2361–2369.



10. **Keet IP, Krijnen P, Koot M, Lange JM, Miedema F, et al.** (1993) Predictors of rapid progression to AIDS in HIV-1 seroconverters. *AIDS* 7: 51–57.
11. **Lodi S, Fisher M, Phillips A, De Luca A, Ghosn J, et al.** (2013) Symptomatic illness and low CD4 cell count at HIV seroconversion as markers of severe primary HIV infection. *PLoS One* 8: e78642.
12. **Vanhems P, Lambert J, Cooper DA, Perrin L, Carr A, et al.** (1998) Severity and prognosis of acute human immunodeficiency virus type 1 illness: a dose-response relationship. *Clin Infect Dis* 26: 323–329.
13. **Mellors JW, Munoz A, Giorgi JV, Margolick JB, Tassoni CJ, et al.** (1997) Plasma viral load and CD4+ lymphocytes as prognostic markers of HIV-1 infection. *Ann Intern Med* 126: 946–954.
14. **Mellors JW, Rinaldo CR Jr, Gupta P, White RM, Todd JA, et al.** (1996) Prognosis in HIV-1 infection predicted by the quantity of virus in plasma. *Science* 272: 1167–1170.
15. **Rieder P, Joos B, Scherrer AU, Kuster H, Braun D, et al.** (2011) Characterization of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) diversity and tropism in 145 patients with primary HIV-1 infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 53: 1271–1279.
16. **Rieder P, Joos B, von Wyl V, Kuster H, Grube C, et al.** (2010) HIV-1 transmission after cessation of early antiretroviral therapy among men having sex with men. *AIDS* 24: 1177–1183.
17. **Hammer SM, Saag MS, Schechter M, Montaner JS, Schooley RT, et al.** (2006) Treatment for adult HIV infection: 2006 recommendations of the International AIDS Society-USA panel. *JAMA* 296: 827–843.
18. **Thompson MA, Aberg JA, Hoy JF, Telenti A, Benson C, et al.** (2012) Antiretroviral treatment of adult HIV infection: 2012 recommendations of the International Antiviral Society-USA panel. *JAMA* 308: 387–402.
19. **von Wyl V, Gianella S, Fischer M, Niederoest B, Kuster H, et al.** (2011) Early antiretroviral therapy during primary HIV-1 infection results in a transient reduction of the viral setpoint upon treatment interruption. *PLoS ONE* 6: e27463.
20. **Hammer SM, Eron JJ Jr, Reiss P, Schooley RT, Thompson MA, et al.** (2008) Antiretroviral treatment of adult HIV infection: 2008 recommendations of the International AIDS Society-USA panel. *JAMA* 300: 555–570.
21. **Bollinger RC, Brookmeyer RS, Mehendale SM, Paranjape RS, Shepherd ME, et al.** (1997) Risk factors and clinical presentation of acute primary HIV infection in India. *JAMA* 278: 2085–2089.
22. **Cooper DA, Gold J, Maclean P, Donovan B, Finlayson R, et al.** (1985) Acute AIDS retrovirus infection. Definition of a clinical illness associated with seroconversion. *Lancet* 1: 537–540.
23. **Daar ES, Pilcher CD, Hecht FM** (2008) Clinical presentation and diagnosis of primary HIV-1 infection. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS* 3: 10–15.
24. **Dorrucci M, Rezza G, Vlahov D, Pezzotti P, Sinicco A, et al.** (1995) Clinical characteristics and prognostic value of acute retroviral syndrome among injecting drug users. *Italian Seroconversion Study. AIDS* 9: 597–604.
25. **Fox R, Eldred LJ, Fuchs EJ, Kaslow RA, Visscher BR, et al.** (1987) Clinical manifestations of acute infection with human immunodeficiency virus in a cohort of gay men. *AIDS* 1: 35–38.
26. **Hofer CB, Harrison LH, Struchiner CJ, Moreira RI, do Lago RF, et al.** (2000) Acute retrovirus syndrome among prospectively identified homosexual men with incident HIV infection in Brazil. *Projecto Praca Onze Study Group. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 25: 188–191.
27. **Kinloch-de Loes S, de Saussure P, Saurat JH, Stalder H, Hirschel B, et al.** (1993) Symptomatic primary infection due to human immunodeficiency virus type 1: review of 31 cases. *Clin Infect Dis* 17: 59–65.
28. **Lavreys L, Thompson ML, Martin HL Jr, Mandaliya K, Ndinya-Achola JO, et al.** (2000) Primary human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection: clinical manifestations among women in Mombasa, Kenya. *Clin Infect Dis* 30: 486–490.
29. **Schacker T, Collier AC, Hughes J, Shea T, Corey L** (1996) Clinical and epidemiologic features of primary HIV infection. *Ann Intern Med* 125: 257–264.
30. **Tindall B, Barker S, Donovan B, Barnes T, Roberts J, et al.** (1988) Characterization of the acute clinical illness associated with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Arch Intern Med* 148: 945–949.

31. Vanhems P, Routy JP, Hirschel B, Baratin D, Vora S, et al. (2002) Clinical features of acute retroviral syndrome differ by route of infection but not by gender and age. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 31: 318–321.
32. Boufassa F, Bachmeyer C, Carre N, Deveau C, Persoz A, et al. (1995) Influence of neurologic manifestations of primary human immunodeficiency virus infection on disease progression. SEROCO Study Group. *J Infect Dis* 171: 1190–1195.
33. Nogueras M, Navarro G, Anton E, Sala M, Cervantes M, et al. (2006) Epidemiological and clinical features, response to HAART, and survival in HIV-infected patients diagnosed at the age of 50 or more. *BMC Infect Dis* 6: 159.
34. Rieg G, Yeaman M, Lail AE, Donfield SM, Gomperts ED, et al. (2007) Platelet count is associated with plasma HIV type 1 RNA and disease progression. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 23: 1257–1261.
35. Mata-Marin JA, Gaytan-Martinez J, Grados-Chavarria BH, Fuentes-Allen JL, Arroyo-Anduiza CI, et al. (2009) Correlation between HIV viral load and aminotransferases as liver damage markers in HIV infected naive patients: a concordance cross-sectional study. *Virol J* 6: 181.
36. Ghosn J, Persoz A, Zitoun Y, Chaix ML, Amri I, et al. (2012) Thrombocytopenia during primary HIV-1 infection predicts the risk of recurrence during chronic infection. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 60: e112–114.
37. Jia X, Han B, Onengut-Gumuscu S, Chen WM, Concannon PJ, et al. (2013) Imputing amino acid polymorphisms in human leukocyte antigens. *PLoS ONE* 8: e64683.
38. Price AL, Patterson NJ, Plenge RM, Weinblatt ME, Shadick NA, et al. (2006) Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. *Nat Genet* 38: 904–909.
39. Fiebig EW, Wright DJ, Rawal BD, Garrett PE, Schumacher RT, et al. (2003) Dynamics of HIV viremia and antibody seroconversion in plasma donors: implications for diagnosis and staging of primary HIV infection. *AIDS* 17: 1871–1879.
40. Little SJ, McLean AR, Spina CA, Richman DD, Havlir DV (1999) Viral dynamics of acute HIV-1 infection. *J Exp Med* 190: 841–850.
41. Fellay J, Shianna KV, Ge D, Colombo S, Ledergerber B, et al. (2007) A whole-genome association study of major determinants for host control of HIV-1. *Science* 317: 944–947.
42. Giorgi JV, Lyles RH, Matud JL, Yamashita TE, Mellors JW, et al. (2002) Predictive value of immunologic and virologic markers after long or short duration of HIV-1 infection. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 29: 346–355.
43. Rodger AJ, Fox Z, Lundgren JD, Kuller LH, Boesecke C, et al. (2009) Activation and coagulation biomarkers are independent predictors of the development of opportunistic disease in patients with HIV infection. *J Infect Dis* 200: 973–983.
44. Casado C, Colombo S, Rauch A, Martinez R, Gunthard HF, et al. (2010) Host and viral genetic correlates of clinical definitions of HIV-1 disease progression. *PLoS One* 5: e11079.
45. van Lelyveld SF, Gras L, Kesselring A, Zhang S, De Wolf F, et al. (2012) Long-term complications in patients with poor immunological recovery despite virological successful HAART in Dutch ATHENA cohort. *AIDS* 26: 465–474.
46. Cain LE, Logan R, Robins JM, Sterne JAC, Sabin C, et al. (2011) When to Initiate Combined Antiretroviral Therapy to Reduce Mortality and AIDS-Defining Illness in HIV-Infected Persons in Developed Countries An Observational Study. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 154: 509–W173.
47. Goujard C, Bonarek M, Meyer L, Bonnet F, Chaix ML, et al. (2006) CD4 cell count and HIV DNA level are independent predictors of disease progression after primary HIV type 1 infection in untreated patients. *Clin Infect Dis* 42: 709–715.
48. Henrard DR, Phillips JF, Muenz LR, Blattner WA, Wiesner D, et al. (1995) Natural history of HIV-1 cell-free viremia. *JAMA* 274: 554–558.
49. Hogan CM, Degruittola V, Sun X, Fiscus SA, Del Rio C, et al. (2012) The setpoint study (ACTG A5217): effect of immediate versus deferred antiretroviral therapy on virologic set point in recently HIV-1 infected individuals. *J Infect Dis* 205: 87–96.
50. Dawes (1979) The robust beauty of improper linear models in decision making. *American Psychologist* 34: 571–82.

51. **Dawes** (2004) The superiority of simple alternatives to regression for social science predictions. *Journal of educational and behaviour statistics* 29: 317–31.
52. **Finster M, Wood M** (2005) The Apgar score has survived the test of time. *Anesthesiology* 102: 855–857.
53. **Saez-Cirion A, Bacchus C, Hocqueloux L, Avettand-Fenoel V, Girault I, et al.** (2013) Post-treatment HIV-1 controllers with a long-term virological remission after the interruption of early initiated antiretroviral therapy ANRS VISCONTI Study. *PLoS Pathog* 9: e1003211.
54. **Hollingsworth TD, Anderson RM, Fraser C** (2008) HIV-1 transmission, by stage of infection. *J Infect Dis* 198: 687–693.
55. **Quinn TC, Wawer MJ, Sewankambo N, Serwadda D, Li C, et al.** (2000) Viral load and heterosexual transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Rakai Project Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 342: 921–929.
56. **Buchacz K, Patel P, Taylor M, Kerndt PR, Byers RH, et al.** (2004) Syphilis increases HIV viral load and decreases CD4 cell counts in HIV-infected patients with new syphilis infections. *AIDS* 18: 2075–2079.
57. **Alizon S, von Wyl V, Stadler T, Kouyos RD, Yerly S, et al.** (2010) Phylogenetic approach reveals that virus genotype largely determines HIV set-point viral load. *PLoS Pathog* 6: e1001123.
58. **Mallal S, Phillips E, Carosi G, Molina JM, Workman C, et al.** (2008) HLA-B\*5701 screening for hypersensitivity to abacavir. *N Engl J Med* 358: 568–579.